

PATTERNS OF LORENTZ SYMMETRY BREAKING IN QED BY CPT-ODD INTERACTION

A. A. Andrianov [‡]

Department of Theoretical Physics,
Sankt-Petersburg State University,
198904 Sankt-Petersburg, Russia

and

Departament d'Estructura i Constituents de la Matèria
Universitat de Barcelona
Diagonal, 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

R. Soldati [‡]

Dipartimento di Fisica "A. Righi", Università di Bologna and Istituto Nazionale
di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italia

Abstract

A tiny Lorentz symmetry breaking can be mediated in Electrodynamics by means of the Chern-Simons (CS) interaction polarized along a constant CS vector. Its presence makes the vacuum optically active that has been recently estimated from astrophysical data. We examine two possibilities for the CS vector to be time-like or space-like, under the assumption that it originates from v.e.v. of some pseudoscalar matter. It is shown that: a) a time-like CS vector makes the vacuum unstable under pairs creation of tachyonic photon modes with the finite vacuum decay rate, i.e. it is unlikely realized at macroscopic time scales; b) on the contrary, the space-like CS vector does not yield any tachyonic modes and, moreover, if its dynamical counterpart is substantially described by a scale invariant interaction, then the QED radiation effects induce the dynamical breaking of Lorentz symmetry, i.e. the occurrence of space-like CS vector appears to be rather natural.

PACS numbers : 11.30.Qc, 14.80.Mz

DFUB-98/8 UB-ECM-PF-98/10 hep-ph/9804448
April 98

Submitted to Phys. Lett. B

[‡] E-mail: andrian@snoopy.phys.spbu.ru

[‡] E-mail: soldati@bo.infn.it

The possibility of a tiny deviation from the Lorentz covariance has been recently reconsidered [1-5] and severe astrophysical bounds on it have been derived. In Electrodynamics, when one retains its fundamental character provided by the renormalizability, it is conceivable to have two types of the Lorentz symmetry breaking (LSB). One way is for the light velocity to depart from the maximum attainable speed for other particles [3]. Another way is under the scope of our letter and is delivered in the ordinary $3+1$ dimensional Minkowski space-time by the (C)PT-odd Chern-Simons (CS) coupling of photons to the vacuum [2] mediated by a constant CS vector η_μ (Carroll-Field-Jackiw model):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + 4\pi A_\mu J^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} A_\mu F_{\nu\lambda}\eta_\sigma, \quad (1)$$

where we have included also the photon coupling to a conserved matter current J^μ . This modification of Electrodynamics does not break the gauge symmetry of the action but splits the dispersion relations for different photon (circular) polarizations [2]. As a consequence the *linearly* polarized photons exhibit the birefringence, *i.e.* the rotation of the polarization direction depending on the distance, when they propagate in the vacuum.

If the vector η_μ is time-like, $\eta^2 > 0$, then this observable effect may be isotropic in the preferred frame (say, the Rest Frame of the Universe where the cosmic microwave background radiation is maximally isotropic), since $\eta_\mu = (\eta_0, 0, 0, 0)$. However it is definitely anisotropic for space-like $\eta^2 < 0$. The first possibility was carefully analyzed [2,5] resulting in the bound: $|\eta_0| < 10^{-33}eV \simeq 10^{-28}cm^{-1}$. Last year Nodland and Ralston [4] presented their compilation of data on polarization rotation of photons from remote radio galaxies and argued for the existence of space anisotropy with $\eta_\mu \simeq (0, \vec{\eta})$ of order $|\vec{\eta}| \sim 10^{-32}eV \simeq 10^{-27}cm^{-1}$. Thereupon the hot discussion started about the confidence level of their result [6] and still this effect needs a better confirmation [7].

In our letter we would like to draw the reader's attention to the fact that the time-

like pattern for the CS interaction is intrinsically inconsistent as it is accompanied by the creation of tachyonic photon modes ¹ from the vacuum, *i.e.* such a vacuum is unstable under the QED radiative effects. On the contrary, the space-like anisotropy carrying CS vector does not generate any vacuum instability and may be naturally induced [8] by a Coleman-Weinberg mechanism [9] in any scale invariant scenario where the CS vector is related to v.e.v. of the gradient of a pseudoscalar field ².

Let us give more details concerning the above statements. The CS interaction modifies the second couple of the Maxwell equations:

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 4\pi J^\nu + 2\eta_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

where the notation $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}F_{\lambda\sigma}$ is adopted. One can read off this equation that for $\vec{\eta} \neq 0$ the electric field strength $\vec{E}$ is no longer orthogonal to the wave vector because $\nabla \cdot \vec{E} \neq 0$ in the empty space. Thus, in this case, the photon obtains a third polarization like a massive vector particle.

The photon energy spectrum can be derived from the wave equations on the gauge potential A_μ in the momentum representation:

$$(p^2 g^{\mu\nu} + 2i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\eta_\lambda p_\sigma) A_\nu \equiv \mathbf{K}^{\mu\nu} A_\nu = 0; \quad (3)$$

$$p^\mu A_\mu = 0.$$

It is evident that the CS interaction changes the photon spectrum only in the polarization hyper-plane orthogonal to the momentum p_μ and the CS vector η_ν . The projector on this plane has the form:

$$\mathbf{e}_2^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{(\eta^\mu p^\nu + \eta^\nu p^\mu)(\eta \cdot p) - \eta^\mu \eta^\nu p^2 - p^\mu p^\nu \eta^2}{(\eta \cdot p)^2 - \eta^2 p^2}. \quad (4)$$

¹ The presence of tachyonic modes in the photon spectrum and the possible vacuum instability was mentioned in [2].

² It may be also interpreted as the average value of a background torsion field [10] with similar conclusions.

After introducing the notation,

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \equiv 2i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\eta_\lambda p_\sigma, \quad (5)$$

one can verify that³

$$\mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{\mathcal{E} \cdot \mathcal{E}}{N}; \quad N \equiv 4((\eta \cdot p)^2 - \eta^2 p^2), \quad (6)$$

and $\mathcal{E} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 = \mathcal{E}$. Respectively, one can unravel the energy spectrum of the wave equation (3) in terms of two polarizations of different helicity:

$$\mathbf{e}_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{e}_2 \pm \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\sqrt{N}} \right); \quad \mathcal{E} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{L,R} = \pm \sqrt{N} \mathbf{e}_{L,R}. \quad (7)$$

Then the dispersion relation is controlled by the equation:

$$(p^2)^2 + 4\eta^2 p^2 - 4(\eta \cdot p)^2 = 0. \quad (8)$$

From eq. (8) one discovers the different physical properties depending on whether η^2 is positive or negative.

If $\eta^2 > 0$ one can examine photon properties in the rest frame for the CS vector $\eta_\mu = (\eta_0, 0, 0, 0)$. Then the dispersion relation,

$$(p_0)_\pm^2 = \vec{p}^2 \pm 2|\eta_0||\vec{p}|, \quad (9)$$

tells us that the upper type of solutions can be interpreted as describing massless states because their energies vanish for $\vec{p} = 0$. Meanwhile the lower type of distorted photons is such that they behave as tachyons [2] with a real energy for $|\vec{p}| > 2|\eta_0|$ (when their phase velocity are taken into account). There are also static solutions with $p_0 = 0 \Leftrightarrow |\vec{p}| = 2|\eta_0|$ and unstable solutions (tachyons) with a negative imaginary energy for $|\vec{p}| < 2|\eta_0|$.

³ In what follows the matrix product is provided by contraction with $g_{\mu\nu}$.

For light-like CS vectors, $\eta^2 = 0$, one deals with conventional photons of shifted energy-momentum spectra for different polarizations:

$$(p_0 \pm \eta_0)^2 = (\vec{p} \pm \vec{\eta})^2. \quad (10)$$

If the CS vector is space-like, $\eta^2 < 0$, the photon spectrum is more transparent in the static frame where $\eta_\mu = (0, \vec{\eta})$. The corresponding dispersion relation reads:

$$(p_0)_\pm^2 = \vec{p}^2 + 2\eta^2 \pm 2\sqrt{|\vec{\eta}|^4 + (\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{p})^2}. \quad (11)$$

It can be checked that in this case $p_0^2 \geq 0$ for all $\vec{p}$ and neither static nor unstable tachyonic modes do actually arise. The upper type of solution describes the massive particle with a mass $m_+ = 2|\vec{\eta}|$ for small space momenta $|\vec{p}| \ll |\vec{\eta}|$. The lower type of solutions represents a massless state as $p_0 \rightarrow 0$ for $|\vec{p}| \rightarrow 0$. It might also exhibit the acausal behavior when $p_\mu p^\mu < 0$ but, even in this case $p_0^2 \geq 0$ for all $\vec{p}$, so that the unstable tachyonic modes never arise.

In a general frame, for high momenta $|\vec{p}| \gg |\vec{\eta}|$, $|p_0| \gg \eta_0$, one obtains the relation:

$$|p_0| - |\vec{p}| \simeq \pm(\eta_0 - |\vec{\eta}| \cos \varphi), \quad (12)$$

where φ is an angle between $\vec{\eta}$ and $\vec{p}$. Hence, for a given photon frequency p_0 , the phase shift induced by the difference between wave vectors of opposite helicities does not depend upon this frequency. Moreover the linearly polarized waves - a combination of left- and right-handed ones - reveal the birefringence phenomenon of rotation of polarization axis with the distance [2].

Let us now examine the radiative effects induced by the emission of distorted photons. In principle the energy and momentum conservation allows for pairs of tachyons to be created from the vacuum due to the CS interaction. Thereby in any model where the CS

vector plays a dynamical role, being related to the condensate of a matter field, one may expect that, owing to tachyon pairs creation, the asymptotic Fock's vacuum state becomes unstable and transforming towards a true non-perturbative state without tachyonic photon modes. But if we inherit the causal prescription for propagating physical waves, then the physical states are assigned to possess the non-negative energy sign. As a consequence the tachyon pairs can be created out of the vacuum only if $\eta^2 > 0$. In particular, the static waves with $p_0 = 0 \Leftrightarrow |\vec{p}| = 2|\eta_0|$ are well produced to destroy the vacuum state. On the contrary, for $\eta^2 < 0$ the causal prescription for the energy sign together with the energy-momentum conservation prevent the vacuum state from photon pair emission.

Thus the decay process holds when static and unstable tachyonic modes exist. Let us clarify this point with the help of the radiatively induced effective potential for the variable η_μ treated as an average value ⁴ of the gradient of a pseudoscalar field, $\eta_\mu = \langle \partial_\mu \Theta(x) \rangle_\Omega$, Ω being the non-perturbative vacuum state. We adopt a model with classically scale-invariant action which naturally extends the CFJ model (1):

$$S = S_{\text{Maxwell}} + \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} A_\mu F_{\nu\lambda} \partial_\sigma \Theta + c_1 (\partial_\mu \Theta \partial^\mu \Theta)^2 + c_2 (\partial^2 \Theta)^2 \right), \quad (13)$$

wher c_1 and c_2 are coefficients to be suitably tuned in terms of ultraviolet renormalization effects. The effective potential for slowly varying $\partial_\mu \Theta(x) \simeq \eta_\mu$ - due to quantum photon fluctuations - can obtained after integration over the photon field from the functional determinant (see [8] for more details):

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(s^2) = c_1 (\eta_\mu \eta^\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\text{vol})_4^{-1} \text{Tr} \left[\mathbf{e}_2 \ln \left(\frac{\mathbf{K} \mathbf{K}^\top}{\mu^4} \right) \right]_{\text{reg}}, \quad (14)$$

where μ is some infrared normalization scale, whilst $\mathbf{K}^\top$ stands for the transposed matrix $\mathbf{K}$ and the finite part of the last functional is derived with the help of any regularization

⁴ It may be a mean value over large volume for slowly varying classical background field or, eventually, the v.e.v. for an axion-type field.

which respects the classical scale invariance. However the very latter invariance turns out [8] to be broken when infrared divergences are cured. It finally yields the following expression for the effective potential:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{5}{32\pi^2}(\eta_\mu\eta^\mu)^2 \ln\left(\frac{-\eta_\mu\eta^\mu}{\mu^2\sqrt{e}}\right), \quad (15)$$

where the constant $\sqrt{e}$ ($\ln\sqrt{e} = 1/2$) is factorized out for a further convenience and the infrared normalization scale μ has to be of the order of $10^{-32}eV$ in order to fit the Nodland-Ralston effect [4]. One can see from eq. (15) that:

a) if $\eta^2 > 0$ there appears an imaginary part for the vacuum energy,

$$\text{Im } \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{5}{32\pi}(\eta_\mu\eta^\mu)^2, \quad (16)$$

which characterizes the rate per unit volume of tachyon pairs production out of the vacuum state;

b) for $\eta^2 \leq 0$ the effective potential is real and has a maximum at $\eta^2 = 0$, whereas the true minima arise at non-zero space-like value $\eta^2 = -\mu^2$, just realizing the celebrated Coleman-Weinberg phenomenon [9].

We conclude that it is unlikely to have the Lorentz symmetry breaking by the CPT odd interaction (1) by means of a time-like CS vector preserving the rotational invariance in the η_μ rest frame. Rather intrinsically, the pseudoscalar matter interacting with photons has a tendency to condensate along a space-like direction. In turn, as we have seen, it leads to the photon mass formation. Of course, this effect of a Coleman-Weinberg type does not yield any explanation for the magnitude of the scale μ , which, however, is implied to be a physical infrared cutoff of a cosmological origin. Therefore its magnitude can be thought to be the inverse of the maximal photon wave length in the Universe: namely, $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 1/\mu \simeq 10^{27}cm$.

If an explicit breaking of the scale invariance is present, then the LSB effect may be reduced and even disappear. For instance [8], with the positive kinetic term for the Θ field,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = \frac{M^2}{2} \partial_\nu \Theta \partial^\nu \Theta \quad (17)$$

added to (13), one can derive the maximal scale M_{max} for which the LSB minima exist and are at their face value:

$$M_{\text{max}} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{4\pi} \mu ; \quad |\eta_\mu|_{\text{min}} = \mu e^{-1/4}, \quad (18)$$

i.e. M_{max} of the order of $10^{-33} eV$.

In this letter we do not touch upon the origin of the pseudoscalar field [10, 11], but leave this discussion to a forthcoming paper.

Acknowledgements.

We thank R. Jackiw for useful and timely remarks. A.A. is grateful to R. Tarrach for stimulating discussions. This work is supported by Italian grant MURST-quota 40%; A.A. is also supported by grants RFFI 98-02-18137, GRACENAS 6-19-97 and by Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Cultura.

References

- [1] H. B. Nielsen and I. Picek, Nucl. Phys. **B211** (1983) 269.
- [2] S. M. Carrol, G. B. Field and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. **D41** (1990) 1231.
- [3] S. Coleman and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Lett. **405B** (1997) 249.
- [4] B. Nodland and J. P. Ralston, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997) 3043; *ibid.* **79** (1997) 1958.
- [5] D. Harari and P. Sikivie, Phys. Lett. **289B** (1992) 67;

- S. Mohanty and S. N. Nayak, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 1526;
- A. Cimatti, S. di Serego Alighieri, G. B. Field and R. A. E. Fosbury, Astrophys. J. **422** (1994) 562;
- M. P. Haugan and T. F. Kauffmann, Phys. Rev. **D52** (1995) 3168;
- M. Goldhaber and V. Trimble, J. Astrophys. Astr. **17** (1996) 17.
- [6] D. J. Eisenstein and E. F. Bunn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 1957;
- S. M. Carroll and G. B. Field, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 2934;
- J. P. Leahy, [astro-ph/9704285](#);
- J. F. C. Wardle, R. A. Perley and M. H. Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 1801;
- T. J. Loredo, E. E. Flanagan and I. M. Wasserman, Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 7057;
- B. Nodland and J. P. Ralston, [astro-ph/9706126](#), [astro-ph/9708114](#).
- [7] P. Jain and J. P. Ralston, [astro-ph/9803164](#).
- [8] A. A. Andrianov and R. Soldati, Phys. Rev. **D51** (1995) 5961; Proc. 11th Int. Workshop QFTHEP96 (St.Petersburg, 1996) (MSU Publ., Moscow, 1997) 290 ([hep-th/9612156](#)).
- [9] S. Coleman and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **D7** (1973) 1888.
- [10] A. Dobado and A. L. Maroto, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 5185; Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12** (1997) 3003.
- [11] W.-T. Ni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 301;
- V. De Sabbata and M. Gasperini, Phys. Lett. **83A** (1981) 115;
- D. V. Ahluwalia and T. Goldman, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A28** (1993) 2623;
- T. Banks and M. Dine, Nucl. Phys. **B505** (1997) 445.